arXiv:1212.0705v1 [math.AP] 4 Dec 2012

Almost conical deformations of thin sheets with rotational symmetry

Stefan Müller*[1] and Heiner Olbermann†[1]

[1]Hausdorff Center for Mathematics & Institute for Applied Mathematics,
University of Bonn, Germany

December 5, 2012

Abstract

It has been found in numerical experiments [14] that when one removes a sector from an elastic sheet and glues the edges of the sector back together, the resulting configuration is radially symmetric and nearly conical. We make a rigorous analysis of this setting under two simplyfying assumptions: Firstly, we only consider radially symmetric configurations. Secondly, we consider the so-called von-Kármán limit, where the size of the removed region as well as the deformations are small. We choose free boundary conditions for a sheet of infinite size. We show existence of minimizers of the suitably renormalized free energy functional. As a by-product, we obtain a lower bound for the elastic energy that has been conjectured in the related context of *d-cones* [16]. Moreover, we determine the shape of minimizers at infinity up to exponentially decaying terms.

1 Introduction

The folding of paper or other thin elastic sheets is one of the many examples of energy focusing in the physical world. Starting in the late '90s, there has been a lot of interest in this problem in the physics community [14, 3, 5, 18, 6, 10, 13, 15, 4]. In particular the crumpling of paper (i.e. the crushing of a thin elastic sheet into a container whose diameter is smaller than the size of the sheet) which results in complex folding patterns has drawn a lot of attention. It has been conjectured that the energy density per thickness h of such a folding pattern scales with $h^{5/3}$. One major contribution in the rigorous analysis of this problem is [9], building on ideas from [17].

Here we focus on approximately conical deformations of thin elastic sheets, that can be viewed as (one kind of) building blocks of crumpled deformations. Consider for example a sheet that is pushed into a hollow cylinder, such that the indentation of the sheet is small. The resulting structure is called a *d-cone* (developable cone). In the physics literature, it has been discussed e.g. in [3, 5, 14, 18]. There are several remarkable features of the d-cone, one of which is that the tip of the d-cone consists of a crescent-shaped ridge where curvature and elastic stress focus. In numerical simulations it was found that the radius of the crescent $R_{\text{cres.}}$ scales with the thickness of the sheet h and the radius of the container $R_{\text{cont.}}$ as $R_{\text{cres.}} \sim h^{1/3} R_{\text{cont.}}^{2/3}$. This dependence on the container radius of the shape of the region near the tip is not understood [18]. As argued in this latter reference, it cannot be explained by an analysis of the dominant contributions to the elastic energy, which are: The bending energy from the region far away from the center, which is well captured by modeling the d-cone as a developable surface there; and the bending and stretching energy part from a core region of size $O(h)$ where elastic strain is not negligible. The result of this (non-rigorous) argument is an energy scaling $E \sim h^2(C_1|\log h| + C_2)$. This is a natural guess –

---

*stefan.mueller@hcm.uni-bonn.de

†heiner.olbermann@hcm.uni-bonn.de

the situation here bears some resemblance to vortices in the Ginzburg-Landau model, where this is the right energy scaling [1].
In [16, 2] the scaling of the elastic energy of a d-cone with respect to its thickness h has been analyzed in a rigorous setting. The result from [16] is

$$h^2(C_1|\log h| - C_2\log|\log h|) \leq \mathcal{E}_h \leq h^2(C_1|\log h| + C_3)\,. \tag{1}$$

The lower bound does not achieve the conjectured scaling behaviour, and it seems that this claim can not be proved with the methods used in [16]. One of the aims of the present paper is to present a setting that is similar to the d-cone, but somewhat simpler from a technical point of view. We will show that a scaling result analogous to the one above without the $\log\log h$ terms on the left hand side holds in this simpler setting.

Crucial for a better understanding of the energy scaling in the two-dimensional model is some control over the rate at which the deformed configuration converges to the conical one when one goes in radial direction from the center of the disk towards its periphery. For general deformations of two dimensional sheets, it is very difficult to obtain this information. Here, we investigate a model for which it is natural to consider only radially symmetric configurations. This simplification allows us to quantify the decay of the difference between minimizers of the elastic energy and conical deformations for large radii.

The idea is the following: To create an approximately conical deformation of an elastic sheet, we cut out a sector of angle β and glue the edges of this sector back together. We consider the minimization problem for the free elastic energy within the class of radially symmetric configurations – this seems to be a natural restriction of the set of allowed configurations. In particular, we will investigate the behavior of minimizers within this class as the distance r from the origin in the reference configuration tends to infinity. (We consider a sheet of infinite radius with free boundaries.)

Apart from the restriction to radially symmetric configurations, we make one more simplification in comparison to the situation in [16]: We use the so-called von-Kármán approximation of non-linear elasticity [7, 11]. This means that the size of the removed sector β and the out-of-plane component of the deformation are supposed to be of the order of some small parameter ε, while the in-plane deformation is of order ε^2. All terms in the elastic energy of order ε^k, $k > 4$, and of order $h^2\varepsilon^k$, $k > 2$ are discarded.

As we will explain in Section 2, these considerations lead to the definition of the free elastic energy density

$$\rho_\lambda^{\mathrm{el.}} = (\hat{w}^2 - 1 + \hat{u}')^2 + \left(\frac{\hat{u}}{r}\right)^2 + \lambda^2\left(\hat{w}'^2 + \frac{\hat{w}^2}{r^2}\right)$$

where $\lambda = h/\varepsilon$ and the deformation of the sheet is given as a map from spherical to cylindrical coordinates by

$$(r,\varphi) \mapsto \left(r + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}(\hat{u} - r), \sqrt{1+\varepsilon^2}\varphi, \varepsilon W\right) \tag{2}$$

with $W' = \hat{w}$. The renormalized energy functional is

$$\begin{array}{rll} \hat{E}_\lambda : & \mathcal{W} & \to \mathbb{R}\cup\{+\infty\} \\ & (\hat{u},\hat{w}) & \mapsto \lim_{R\to\infty}\int_0^R r\mathrm{d}r\left(\rho_\lambda^{\mathrm{el.}}(r) - \lambda^2\frac{\psi(r/\lambda)^2}{r^2}\right) \end{array} \tag{3}$$

where

$$\mathcal{W} = \left\{(\hat{u},\hat{w}) \in W^{1,2}_{\mathrm{loc}}([0,\infty),\mathbb{R}^2) :\ \hat{u}(0) = \hat{w}(0) = 0\right\},$$

and ψ is some cutoff function with $\psi(r) = 0$ for r close to 0 and $\psi(r) = 1$ for $r \geq 1$. The boundary conditions included in the definition of $\mathcal{W}$ assure that the energy density is integrable in a neighborhood of the origin for the deformation eq. (2).

The aim of the present contribution is to prove

Theorem 1. *The functional $\hat{E}_\lambda$ from eq. (3) is well defined and bounded from below. It possesses minimizers in $\mathcal{W}$ (for which $\hat{E}_\lambda$ takes on finite values). Furthermore, each minimizer $(\hat{u}, \hat{w})$ satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{u}(r) =& \frac{\lambda^2}{2r} + o(\exp(-\sigma\sqrt{r/\lambda}))\\ \hat{w}(r) =& 1 + o(\exp(-\sigma\sqrt{r/\lambda}))\end{aligned}$$

as $r \to \infty$ for any $\sigma < 2\sqrt{2}$.

As a side product of the proof of Theorem 1, we will get a lower bound for the elastic energy when the radius of the elastic sheet in the reference configuration is assumed to be finite. This lower bound is better than the analogous one from eq. (1) in that the log log-terms are not present. To give an idea how this “improved” lower bound comes about, let

$$I_\lambda = \int_0^1 r\mathrm{d}r\rho_\lambda^{\mathrm{el.}}\,.$$

The first step to establish the lower bound in the present setting is the right renormalization of the elastic energy density. We expect a logarithmic divergence in λ of $\lambda^{-2}I_\lambda$ as $\lambda \to 0$. Thus we make the replacement

$$\rho_\lambda^{\mathrm{el.}}(r) \to \rho_\lambda^{\mathrm{el.}}(r) - \lambda^2\frac{\psi(r/\lambda)^2}{r^2}$$

The key step is now to find a change of variables that makes it obvious that

$$\int_0^1 r\mathrm{d}r\left(\rho_\lambda^{\mathrm{el.}}(r) - \lambda^2\frac{\psi(r/\lambda)^2}{r^2}\right) \tag{4}$$

is bounded from below by some constant times λ^2. As we will see in Section 3, such a change of variables does exist, and will leave us only with manifestly positive terms in the renormalized energy eq. (4) plus some divergence-like term that will be estimated in a suitable manner in Proposition 1. Thus we get the sought-for lower bound

$$\lambda^{-2}I_\lambda \geq |\log\lambda| - C\,. \tag{5}$$

This paper is organised as follows: In Section 2, we motivate and define our model. In Section 3 we prove the existence of minimizers of the elastic free energy functional. The lower bound for the elastic energy will be a corollary of one of the preparatory propositions. In a remark at the end of that section, we will discuss a pathology of the model presented here. In section 4, we show that minimizers converge to the conical configuration at infinity.

2 The model

Cutting out a sector, glueing the edges back together. For small $\varepsilon > 0$ let $\beta^{(\varepsilon)}$ be defined by $2\pi/(2\pi - \beta^{(\varepsilon)}) = \sqrt{1+\varepsilon^2}$, and let

$$B^{(\varepsilon)} = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \left\{(x_1, x_2) : x_2 < 0 < x_1,\, -\beta^{(\varepsilon)} < \arctan x_2/x_1 < 0\right\}$$

We define a deformation $y : B^{(\varepsilon)} \to \mathbb{R}^3$ whose image has rotational symmetry. We are going to use cylindrical coordinates (r, φ),

$$y(r,\varphi) = U(r)e_r^{(\varepsilon)} + V(r)e_z \tag{6}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}U&:[0,\infty)\to[0,\infty)\\V&:[0,\infty)\to[0,\infty)\\e_r^{(\varepsilon)}&=(\cos\varphi^{(\varepsilon)},\sin\varphi^{(\varepsilon)},0)\\\varphi^{(\varepsilon)}&=\frac{2\pi}{2\pi-\beta}\varphi\end{aligned}$$

We calculate

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla y&=\left(U'e_r^{(\varepsilon)}+V'e_z\right)\otimes e_r+\frac{\sqrt{1+\varepsilon^2}}{r}U\,e_\varphi^{(\varepsilon)}\otimes e_\varphi\\\nabla y^T\nabla y-\mathrm{Id}&=\left((U')^2+(V')^2-1\right)e_r\otimes e_r+\left(\frac{1+\varepsilon^2}{r^2}U^2-1\right)e_\varphi\otimes e_\varphi\\\nabla^2 y&=\left(U''e_r^{(\varepsilon)}+V''e_z\right)\otimes e_r\otimes e_r+\sqrt{1+\varepsilon^2}\left(\frac{U}{r}\right)'e_\varphi^{(\varepsilon)}\otimes(e_\varphi\otimes e_r+e_r\otimes e_\varphi)\\&\quad+\left(\frac{U'}{r}-\frac{(1+\varepsilon^2)U}{r^2}\right)e_r^{(\varepsilon)}\otimes e_\varphi\otimes e_\varphi+\frac{V'}{r}e_z\otimes e_\varphi\otimes e_\varphi\end{aligned}$$

Definition of the elastic energy. As a starting point, we choose the elastic energy density to be

$$\bar\rho_{\text{el.}}=\left|\nabla y^T\nabla y-\mathrm{Id}\right|^2+h^2|\nabla^2 y|^2$$

where h is a parameter for the thickness of the sheet under consideration. The first term on the right hand side represents the stress energy density, the second one the bending energy density. This is a standard ansatz for the elastic energy, for a justification see e.g. [9].

Von-Kármán ansatz, change of variables. Now we make the ansatz

$$\begin{aligned}U(r)&=r+O(\varepsilon^2)\\V(r)&=O(\varepsilon)\,,\end{aligned}\tag{7}$$

where ε is some small parameter. The following changes of variables will be convenient,

$$\begin{aligned}U(r)&=r+\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}(\hat u(r)-r)\\V'(r)&=\varepsilon\hat w(r)\end{aligned}\tag{8}$$

and, alternatively,

$$\begin{aligned}U(r)&=r+\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}\left(u(r)+\lambda^2\frac{\psi(r/\lambda)}{2r}-r\right)\\V'(r)&=\varepsilon(\psi(r/\lambda)+w(r))\,,\end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda=h/\varepsilon$, $\psi\in C^\infty([0,\infty)$ with $\psi(r)=0$ near $r=0$ and $\psi(r)=1$ for $r\geq 1$.
A deformation of finite elastic energy must satisfy the boundary conditions

$$\hat u(0)=u(0)=\hat w(0)=w(0)=0\,.$$

A short computation shows that the elastic energy density is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\bar\rho_{\text{el.}}=&\varepsilon^4\left((\hat w^2-1+\hat u')^2+\left(\frac{\hat u}{r}\right)^2+\lambda^2\left(\hat w'^2+\frac{\hat w^2}{r^2}\right)\right)\\&+O(\varepsilon^6)+O(\varepsilon^6\lambda^2)\,.\end{aligned}$$

We build our model only considering the leading terms in ε for fixed λ, defining

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_\lambda^{\text{el.}} &= \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \varepsilon^{-4}\bar{\rho}_{\text{el.}} \\ &= \left((\hat{w}^2 - 1 + \hat{u}')^2 + \left(\frac{\hat{u}}{r}\right)^2 + \lambda^2 \left(\hat{w}'^2 + \frac{\hat{w}^2}{r^2}\right)\right) .\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Renormalization and rescaling. The (u, w) variables have been chosen such that we expect u, u', w, w' to vanish as $r \to \infty$. By an inspection of the energy density $\rho_\lambda^{\text{el.}}(r)$ we expect that the integral

$$\int_0^R r\mathrm{d}r \rho_\lambda^{\text{el.}}(r)$$

diverges logarithmically as $R \to \infty$. To have some hope of a meaningful limit for $R \to \infty$, it seems a good guess to introduce the renormalized functional

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{E}_\lambda^R : W^{1,2}_{\text{loc}}(0,\infty) \to& \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\} \\ (\hat{u},\hat{w}) \mapsto& \int_0^R r\mathrm{d}r \left(\rho_\lambda^{\text{el.}}(r) - \lambda^2 \frac{\psi(r/\lambda)^2}{r^2}\right)\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

This definition is to be understood in the sense that $E_\lambda^R(\hat{u},\hat{w}) = \infty$ if the (Lebesgue-)integral on the right hand side does not exist. In the sequel, we will set $\lambda \equiv 1$, and derive all results for this value of λ. The general case can be recovered by the change of variables $r \to r/\lambda$, which we will do at the very end. In fact the change of variable formula yields

$$\hat{E}_\lambda^R(\hat{u},\hat{w}) = \lambda^2 \hat{E}_1^{R/\lambda}\left(\lambda^{-1}\hat{u}(\lambda\cdot), \hat{w}(\lambda\cdot)\right) \tag{11}$$

for any $\hat{u}, \hat{w} \in W^{1,2}_{\text{loc}}$.
We will use the following notation:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{E}_1^R =& \hat{E}^R \\ E^R(u,w) =& \hat{E}^R(\hat{u},\hat{w})\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

3 Existence of minimizers

Proposition 1. *For the functional $E^R : \mathcal{W} \to \mathbb{R}$ defined in eq.* (12),

$$E^R(u,w) > -C\,,$$

where C is some numerical constant that is independent of u, w and R.

Proof. We bound from below the integral from $[0,1)$ and $[1,R]$ seperately. The contribution from $[0,1)$ is trivially bounded from below since $\rho^{\text{el.}} \equiv \rho_1^{\text{el.}}$ is positive. We set

$$X_1 = X_1(u,w) = \int_0^1 r\mathrm{d}r \rho^{\text{el.}} \geq 0\,.$$

Obviously,

$$E^1(u,w) \geq X_1 - C\,, \tag{13}$$

where $C = \int_0^1 \psi^2 \mathrm{d}r/r < \infty$.
Next we consider the contribution from $[1,R]$. Note that for $r \geq 1$ the (renormalized) elastic energy simplifies to

$$\rho^{\text{el.}}(r) - \frac{1}{r^2} = \left(2w + w^2 + u'\right)^2 + \left(\frac{u}{r}\right)^2 + w'^2 - \frac{1}{r}\left(\frac{u}{r}\right)' + \frac{1}{2r^4}\,. \tag{14}$$

To show boundedness below of the integral $\int_1^R r\mathrm{d}r(\rho^{\text{el.}} - 1/r^2)$, we will show that the absolute value of the integral $\int_1^R \mathrm{d}r(u/r)'$ can be bounded by square roots of the manifestly positive terms in $E^R(u,w)$. Obviously,

$$\int_1^R \mathrm{d}r \left(\frac{u}{r}\right)' = \frac{u(R)}{R} - u(1)\,. \tag{15}$$

First we note

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{u}(1)|^2 &= \left|2\int_0^1 \mathrm{d}r\,\hat{u}\,\hat{u}'\right| \\ &\leq 2\left(\int_0^1 \mathrm{d}r\,\frac{\hat{u}^2}{r}\right)^{1/2}\left(\int_0^1 r\mathrm{d}r\,\hat{u}'^2\right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq 2\sqrt{2}\left(\int_0^1 \mathrm{d}r\,\frac{\hat{u}^2}{r}\right)^{1/2}\left(\left(\int_0^1 r\mathrm{d}r\,(\hat{w}^2-1+\hat{u}')^2 + \int_0^1 r\mathrm{d}r\,(\hat{w}^2-1)^2\right)\right)^{1/2} \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

In a similar way, we estimate the supremum of $\hat{w}^2$ on $[0,1]$,

$$\sup_{x\in[0,1]} \hat{w}(x)^2 \leq 2\left(\int_0^1 \mathrm{d}r\,\frac{\hat{w}^2}{r}\right)^{1/2}\left(\int_0^1 r\mathrm{d}r\,\hat{w}'^2\right)^{1/2} \leq 2X_1 \tag{17}$$

Furthermore,

$$\int_0^1 r\mathrm{d}r\,(\hat{w}^2-1)^2 \leq \max\left(1, \sup_{x\in[0,1]}\hat{w}(x)^4\right) \leq 4X_1^2 + 1\,. \tag{18}$$

Inserting eq. (18) into eq. (16), we get

$$|\hat{u}(1)|^2 \leq 2\sqrt{2}\left(\int_0^1 \mathrm{d}r\,\frac{\hat{u}^2}{r}\right)^{1/2}\left(\int_0^1 r\mathrm{d}r\,(\hat{w}^2-1+\hat{u}')^2 + 4X_1^2 + 1\right)^{1/2}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} |u(1)| =&|\hat{u}(1) - 1/2| \\ \leq& C(X_1^{3/4} + 1) \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

We turn to the estimate of $u(R)/R$. We may assume $u(R) > |u(1)|$. Choose a such that $u(a) = |u(1)|$, $u(r) > u(a)$ for $r > a$. Let furthermore

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda =&\left\{r \in [a,R] : u(r) = \max_{s\in[a,r]} u(s)\right\} \\ \Lambda_1 =&\{r \in \Lambda : u'(r) \leq 2\} \\ \Lambda_j =&\{r \in \Lambda : j < u'(r) \leq j+1\} \\ \Lambda_{2\infty} =& \cup_{j\geq 2}\,\Lambda_j \end{aligned}$$

We have $u(R) - u(a) = u(R) - |u(1)| > 0$, and the following case distinction: Either

$$\int_{\Lambda_1} u'(r)\mathrm{d}r \geq \frac{u(R) - |u(1)|}{2} \quad \text{(case (i))}$$

or

$$\int_{\Lambda_{2\infty}} u'(r)\mathrm{d}r \geq \frac{u(R) - |u(1)|}{2} \quad \text{(case (ii))}\,.$$

In case (i),

$$|\Lambda_1| \geq \frac{u(R) - |u(1)|}{4}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Lambda \mathrm{d}r\,\frac{u^2}{r} &\geq \frac{1}{R}\int_0^{|\Lambda_1|}\mathrm{d}r\,(|u(1)|+2r)^2\\ &= \frac{1}{R}\left[\frac{4}{3}r^3+2r^2|u(1)|+r|u(1)|^2\right]_0^{(u(R)-|u(1)|)/4}\\ &\geq \frac{C}{R}\left(|u(R)|^3-|u(1)|^3\right)\,,\end{aligned}$$

where we have used Young's inequality to estimate the mixed terms in $u(R), |u(1)|$, and C is some numerical constant. Thus we get in this case

$$R^{-1/3}u(R) \leq C\left(X_1^{3/4}+X_3^{1/3}+1\right) \tag{20}$$

where

$$X_3 = X_3(u,R) = \int_1^R \frac{u^2}{r}\mathrm{d}r\,.$$

In case (ii),

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{u(R)-|u(1)|}{2} &\leq \sum_{i\geq 2}(i+1)|\Lambda_i|\\ &\leq 3\sum_{i\geq 2}(i-1)|\Lambda_i|\\ &= 3\int_\Lambda \sum_{i\geq 2}\chi_{\Lambda_i}(i-1)\\ &\leq 3\int_\Lambda \mathrm{d}r|\hat{w}^2-1+u'|\\ &\leq 3\left(\int_\Lambda r\mathrm{d}r|\hat{w}^2-1+u'|^2\right)^2\left(\int_\Lambda \mathrm{d}r/r\right)^2\\ &\leq C\left(\int_1^R r\mathrm{d}r\,(2w+w^2+u')^2\right)^{1/2}(\log R)^{1/2}\end{aligned}$$

Thus, choosing R such that $R^{1/3} > \log R$, we get for case (ii),

$$R^{-1/3}u(R) \leq C(X_1^{3/4}+X_2^{1/2}+1) \tag{21}$$

where

$$X_2 = X_2(u,w,R) = \int_1^R r\mathrm{d}r\,(2w+w^2+u')^2\,.$$

By eqs. (20) and (21),

$$R^{-1/3}u(R) \leq C\left(1+X_1^{3/4}+X_2^{1/2}+X_3^{1/3}\right) \tag{22}$$

We put together eqs. (13), (14), (15), (19) and (22) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^R r\mathrm{d}r\,\left[\rho^{\mathrm{el.}}(r)-\frac{\psi^2}{r^2}\right] \geq & X_1+X_2+X_3\\ & -C\left(X_1^{3/4}+X_2^{1/2}+X_3^{1/2}+X_3^{1/3}+1\right)\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

This proves the proposition, since the right hand side is bounded from below as a function of the X_i, $i=1,2,3$. □

Corollary 1. *There exist constants* C_1, C_2 *such that*

$$|\log\lambda| - C_1 \leq \lambda^{-2} \inf I_\lambda \leq |\log\lambda| + C_2\,.$$

Proof. By eq. (11),

$$\hat{E}^1_\lambda(\hat{u},\hat{w}) = \lambda^2 \hat{E}_1^{\lambda^{-1}}(\lambda\hat{u}(\cdot/\lambda), \hat{w}(\cdot/\lambda))\,. \tag{24}$$

Using eq. (12), we get

$$\inf \hat{E}^1_\lambda \leq \lambda^2 \hat{E}^{\lambda^{-1}}(0,\psi) \leq C\lambda^2$$

which proves the upper bound by the definition of I_λ (we recall $I_\lambda = \hat{E}^1_\lambda + \lambda^2 \int_0^1 (\psi(r/\lambda)^2/r)\mathrm{d}r$). The lower bound follows from eq. (24) and Proposition 1. ☐

We next send $R \to \infty$ in the definition of E^R. For $(u,w) \in \mathcal{W}$, we define,

$$\begin{aligned} E^+(u,w) = &\int_0^1 r\mathrm{d}r \left[\left((w+\psi)^2 - 1 + \left(u + \frac{\psi}{2r}\right)' \right)^2 + \frac{\left(u+\frac{\psi}{2r}\right)^2}{r^2} + (w'+\psi')^2 + \frac{(w+\psi)^2}{r^2} \right] \\ &+ \int_1^\infty r\mathrm{d}r \left[(2w + w^2 + u')^2 + \frac{u^2}{r^2} + w'^2 \right]\,. \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

This definition is to be understood in the sense that $E^+(u,w) = +\infty$ if the integral on the right hand side of eq. (25) does not exist. Note that the derivation of eq. (23) also implies

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^R r\mathrm{d}r \left[\rho^{\mathrm{el.}}(r) - \frac{\psi^2}{r^2} \right] \leq & X_1 + X_2 + X_3 \\ & + C\left(X_1^{3/4} + X_2^{1/2} + X_3^{1/2} + X_3^{1/3} + 1 \right) \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

and hence $\lim_{R\to\infty} \int_0^R r\mathrm{d}r(\rho^{\mathrm{el.}} - \psi^2/r^2) = \infty$ if and only if $E^+(u,w) = \infty$.
For $(u,w) \in \mathcal{W}$ such that either the limit $\lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{u(r)}{r}$ exists or $E^+(u,w) = \infty$, we set

$$E(u,w) = \begin{cases} E^+(u,w) - \lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{u(r)}{r} + u(1) + \frac{1}{4} - \int_0^1 r\mathrm{d}r \frac{\psi^2}{r^2} & \text{if } \lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{u(r)}{r} \text{ exists} \\ \infty & \text{if } E^+(u,w) = \infty \end{cases} \tag{27}$$

We will see in Corollary 2 that E is in fact well defined on $\mathcal{W}$. By eq. (14),

$$E(u,w) = \lim_{R\to\infty} \hat{E}^R(\hat{u},\hat{w}) \tag{28}$$

if $\lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{u(r)}{r}$ exists.

Lemma 1. *Let* $\kappa > 0$ *and* $g : [0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ *be continuous with* $|g| > \kappa$. *Then there exists a constant* $C(\kappa) > 0$ *such that*

$$\liminf_{l\to\infty} \frac{1}{l} \left(\inf_{f \in W^{1,2}([0,l])} \int_0^l (f'+g)^2 + f^2 \mathrm{d}x \right) \geq C(\kappa)\,.$$

Proof. By the change of variables $x = yl$, $\tilde{f}(y) = f(yl)$, the claim is equivalent to saying that the infimum of

$$\int_0^1 \frac{1}{l^2}\left(\tilde{f}' + lg\right)^2 + \tilde{f}^2\,\mathrm{d}y$$

is bounded below by some positive number as $l \to \infty$. Assume this were not the case. Then there exist sequences $\{l_j\}_j \subset \mathbb{R}$, $\{\tilde{f}_j\}_j \subset W^{1,2}([0,1])$ such that

$$\int_0^1 \frac{1}{l_j^2}\left(\tilde{f}_j' + l_j g\right)^2 + \tilde{f}_j^2\,\mathrm{d}y \to 0 \tag{29}$$

Hence $\int \tilde{f}_j^2 \to 0$, and for every $\delta > 0$ there exists j_0 such that for $j > j_0$ there exist $y_{j,1} \in [0, 1/4]$, $y_{j,2} \in [3/4, 1]$ such that $|\tilde{f}(y_{j,i})| \leq \delta$ for $i = 1, 2$. Thus

$$\left| \int_{y_{j,1}}^{y_{j,2}} \tilde{f}_j'(y) \mathrm{d}y \right| \leq 2\delta$$

and

$$\left| \int_{y_{j,1}}^{y_{j,2}} \left(\tilde{f}_j'(y) + l_j\, g \right) \mathrm{d}y \right| \geq \frac{1}{2} l_j \kappa - 2\delta\,.$$

This implies

$$\liminf_{j\to\infty} l_j^{-2} \int_{y_{j,1}}^{y_{j,2}} \left(\tilde{f}_j'(y) + l_j g \right)^2 \mathrm{d}y \geq C\kappa^2$$

for some numerical constant $C > 0$, which is a contradiction to eq. (29). □

Lemma 2. *Let $(u, w) \in \mathcal{W}$ and $E^+(u, w) < \infty$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{r\to\infty} w(r) &= 0 \\ \|w\|_{L^\infty} &\leq C(E^+(u, w)^{1/2} + 1) \\ r^{-1/3}|u(r)| &< C \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Note that $\hat{E}^R$ only depends on $\hat{w}^2$ and $\hat{w}'^2$ which implies $\hat{E}^R(\hat{u}, \hat{w}) = \hat{E}^R(\hat{u}, |\hat{w}|)$. Hence we may assume $\hat{w} = w + \psi \geq 0$. Let $\tilde{w}(t) = w(e^t)$, $\tilde{u}(t) = u(e^t)$, $\delta > 0$ and assume

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty} \tilde{w}^2(t) \geq \delta\,. \tag{30}$$

We have $\liminf_{t\to\infty} \tilde{w}^2(t) = 0$ since otherwise $|\int_1^T 2\tilde{w} + \tilde{w}^2| \to \infty$. Thus, we can pick two sequences $\{s_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$, $\{t_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \subset [0, \infty)$ with the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned} s_j &< t_j < s_{j+1} \\ s_j, t_j &\to \infty \\ \tilde{w}^2(s_j) &= \delta \\ \tilde{w}^2(t_j) &= \delta/2 \\ \delta/2 &< \tilde{w}(t) < \delta \qquad \text{for } t \in [s_j, t_j] \end{aligned}$$

Note that by the last inequality, we have

$$\left| \mathrm{e}^t \left(2\tilde{w}(t) + \tilde{w}^2(t) \right) \right| > C(\delta) \qquad \text{for } t \in [s_j, t_j]\,. \tag{31}$$

Now

$$\delta/2 \leq \int_{s_j}^{t_j} (\tilde{w}^2)' \mathrm{d}t \leq 2 \left(\int_{s_j}^{t_j} \tilde{w}^2 \mathrm{d}t \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{s_j}^{t_j} \tilde{w}'^2 \mathrm{d}t \right)^{1/2}$$

and hence

$$\int_{s_j}^{t_j} \tilde{w}^2 \mathrm{d}t \geq \frac{(\delta/4)^2}{\int_{s_j}^{t_j} \tilde{w}'^2 \mathrm{d}t} \to \infty \text{ as } j \to \infty,$$

since the denominator goes to 0 by the integrability of $\tilde{w}'^2$. As a consequence, $|t_j - s_j| \to \infty$. Thus (by a change of the domain of integration) the conditions of lemma 1 are fulfilled for $f = \tilde{u}$, $g(t) = \mathrm{e}^t(2\tilde{w}(t) + \tilde{w}^2(t))$, $\kappa = C(\delta)$, and we get

$$\int_{s_j}^{t_j} \left(\mathrm{e}^t(2\tilde{w} + \tilde{w}^2) + \tilde{u}' \right)^2 + \tilde{u}^2 \mathrm{d}t \to \infty$$

which is a contradiction to $E^+(u,w) < \infty$. This proves the first statement.
Let $M = \sup \tilde{w}^2$, and t_1, t_2 be such that $\tilde{w}(t_1)^2 = M, \tilde{w}(t_2)^2 = M/2$, $M/2 < \tilde{w}^2(t) < M$ for $t \in [t_1, t_2]$, where for definiteness we have assumed $t_1 < t_2$. Now we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} M/2 \leq & \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (\tilde{w}^2)' \mathrm{d}t \\ \leq & 2 \left(\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \tilde{w}^2 \mathrm{d}t \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \tilde{w}'^2 \mathrm{d}t \right)^{1/2} \\ \leq & 2M^{1/2} |t_2 - t_1|^{1/2} \left(\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \tilde{w}'^2 \mathrm{d}t \right)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

With obvious changes, the estimates also hold true for $t_1 > t_2$. Assuming $M > 2$, we may apply Lemma 1 with $f = \tilde{u}$, $g = \mathrm{e}^t(2\tilde{w} + \tilde{w}^2)$ and $\kappa = 1$. This yields $|t_2 - t_1| \leq C\, E^+(u,w)$, and the second claim follows.
The third statement only has to be proved for negative $u(R)$, for positive $u(R)$ it has already been shown to be true in the proof of Proposition 1.
Choose t_0 such that

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}t \tilde{u}^2(t) = \int_{\mathrm{e}^{t_0}}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}r (u^2(r)/r) < 1$$

Then for $i > t_0$, $\int_i^{i+1} \tilde{u}^2 \mathrm{d}t < 1$ and hence $\min_{t \in [i,i+1]} |\tilde{u}(t)| < 1$. We may then choose

$$t_i \in \mathrm{argmin}_{t \in [i,i+1]} |\tilde{u}(t)| \,,$$

and set $r_i := \mathrm{e}^{t_i}$. For given R, choose i such that $r_i \leq R < r_{i+1}$. Now we proceed in almost the same way as in the proof of the proposition. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda = & \left\{ r \in [R, r_{i+1}] : u(r) = \max_{s \in [R,r]} u(s) \right\} \\ \Lambda_1 = & \{ r \in \Lambda : \, u'(r) \leq 2 \} \\ \Lambda_j = & \{ r \in \Lambda : \, j < u'(r) \leq j+1 \} \,, \quad j \geq 2 \\ \Lambda_{2\infty} = & \cup_{j \geq 2} \Lambda_j \end{aligned}$$

We may assume $|u(R)| - 1 > 0$. The case distinction is this time

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Lambda_1} u'(r) \mathrm{d}r \geq \frac{|u(R)| - 1}{2} \quad \text{(case (i))} \,, \\ & \int_{\Lambda_{2\infty}} u'(r) \mathrm{d}r \geq \frac{|u(R)| - 1}{2} \quad \text{(case (ii))} \end{aligned}$$

In case (i),

$$|\Lambda_1| \geq \frac{|u(R)| - 1}{4}$$

and hence, by a calculation just as in the proof of Proposition 1,

$$\int_R^{r_{i+1}} \mathrm{d}r \, \frac{u^2}{r} \geq C \left(R^{-1/3} |u(R)| - 1 \right) \,.$$

In case (ii),

$$\frac{|u(R)| - 1}{2} \leq C \left(\int_1^R r \mathrm{d}r \, (2w + w^2 + u')^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

Hence $|u(R)| R^{-1/3}$ is bounded, and the lemma is proved. □

Corollary 2. *The functionals (3) (for $\lambda = 1$) and (27) are well defined and bounded from below. Furthermore, $E(u,w) = \hat{E}(\hat{u},\hat{w})$ for all $(u,w) \in \mathcal{W}$.*

Proof. Below eq. (26), we have already remarked that $\lim_{R\to\infty}\int_0^R r\mathrm{d}r(\rho^{\mathrm{el.}} - \psi^2/r^2) = \infty$ if and only if $E^+(u,w) = \infty$. By Lemma 2, $E^+(u,w) < \infty$ implies $\lim_{r\to\infty} u(r)/r \to 0$. The claims of the corollary then follow from eqs. (27), (28) and Proposition 1. $\square$

Proposition 2. *Let $\{(u_j,w_j)\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{W}$ be a minimizing sequence for E, i.e.,*

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} E(u_j,w_j) = \inf_{\mathcal{W}} E\,.$$

Then

(i) there exists a subsequence that converges to some (u_0,w_0) in $W^{1,2}_{\mathrm{loc}}((0,\infty))$.

(ii) $\lim_{r\to 0} u_0(r) = \lim_{r\to 0} w_0(r) = 0$

(iii) $E(u_0,w_0) = \inf_{\mathcal{W}} E$.

Proof. **(i)** By Corollary 2, E is bounded from below, so $\inf_{\mathcal{W}} E > -\infty$.
Let $0 < a < b < \infty$. We will show that E is coercive on $W^{1,2}([a,b])$. We have $E(u_j,w_j) < C$ for some constant C by the minimizing property of the sequence $\{(u_j,w_j)\}_j$. This implies $E^+(u_j,w_j) < C$. Hence the boundedness

$$\int_a^b u_j^2 + w_j'^2 \mathrm{d}r < C(a,b) \tag{32}$$

is clear. In the sequel, we drop the subscript j. Now

$$\begin{aligned}\int_a^b u'^2\mathrm{d}r \leq & 2\int_a^b \mathrm{d}r(w^2+2w\psi+\psi^2-1+u')^2 + (w^2+2w\psi+\psi^2-1)^2\\ \leq & 2\left(a^{-1}E^+(u,w) + \int_a^b \mathrm{d}r(w^2+2w\psi+\psi^2-1)^2\right)\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

We will estimate the second term splitting the integral into two parts, with integration domains $[a,1]$ and $[1,b]$. Firstly, using eq. (18),

$$\int_a^1 (w^2+2w\psi+\psi^2-1)^2 \leq \max\left(\sup|w|^4, 1\right) \leq 4(E^+(u,w)^2+1)\,. \tag{34}$$

For $r \geq 1$, $w^2+2w\psi+\psi^2-1 = 2w+w^2$. We may assume $w(r) \geq -1$ (cf. the proof of Lemma 2). Let $\tilde{w}(t) = w(\mathrm{e}^t)$, $\tilde{u}(t) = u(\mathrm{e}^t)$ and

$$\tilde{W}(t) = -\int_t^\infty 2\tilde{w}(s) + \tilde{w}(s)^2\mathrm{d}s$$

Now we are going to use the interpolation inequality

$$\|2\tilde{w}+\tilde{w}^2\|^2_{L^2([0,\infty))} = \|\tilde{W}'\|^2_{L^2([0,\infty))} \leq C\|\tilde{W}\|_{L_2([0,\infty))}\|\tilde{W}''\|_{L^2([0,\infty))}\,. \tag{35}$$

We will estimate the terms on the right hand side. By lemma 2, $\sup|\tilde{w}| < C\left(E(u,w)^{1/2}+1\right)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\|\tilde{W}''\|_{L^2} = \|(2+\tilde{w})\tilde{w}'\|_{L^2} \leq & C(E(u,w)^{1/2}+1)\|\tilde{w}'\|_{L^2}\\ \leq & C(E(u,w)+1)\end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$|\tilde{W}(T)| \leq \left|\int_\infty^T \mathrm{d}t\, 2\tilde{w}+\tilde{w}^2+\mathrm{e}^{-t}\tilde{u}'\right| + \left|\int_\infty^T \mathrm{d}t\,\mathrm{e}^{-t}\tilde{u}'\right|$$

Using Hölder's inequality the first term on the right hand side can be estimated by

$$\mathrm{e}^{-T}\left(\int_{\infty}^{T}\mathrm{d}t\left(\mathrm{e}^{t}\left(2\tilde{w}+\tilde{w}^{2}\right)+\tilde{u}'\right)^{2}\right)^{1/2}.$$

We integrate the second term by parts and get

$$\left|\lim_{\tau\to\infty}\left[\mathrm{e}^{-T}\tilde{u}(T)-\mathrm{e}^{-\tau}\tilde{u}(\tau)\right]+\int_{\infty}^{T}\mathrm{d}t\,\mathrm{e}^{-t}\tilde{u}(t)\right|$$

By Lemma 2, $\lim_{\tau\to\infty}\mathrm{e}^{-\tau}\tilde{u}(\tau)=0$, and again by Hölder

$$\left|\int_{\infty}^{T}\mathrm{d}t\,\mathrm{e}^{-t}\tilde{u}(t)\right|\leq\mathrm{e}^{-T}\left(\int_{\infty}^{T}\mathrm{d}t\,\tilde{u}^{2}\right)^{1/2}$$

Putting the last four equations together,

$$|\tilde{W}(T)|\leq C\mathrm{e}^{-T}\left(E(u,w)^{1/2}+u(T)\right)$$

and thus

$$\|\tilde{W}\|_{L^2}\leq CE(u,w)^{1/2}$$

Inserting the estimates of $\|\tilde{W}\|_{L^2},\|\tilde{W}''\|_{L^2}$ in the interpolation inequality (35) yields

$$\int_{1}^{\infty}(2w+w^{2})^{2}\mathrm{d}r=\|2\tilde{w}+\tilde{w}^{2}\|^{2}_{L^{2}([0,\infty))}\leq C(E^{+}(u,w)+1)\,. \tag{36}$$

Inserting this result and eq. (34) into eq. (33), we get

$$\int_{a}^{b}u'^{2}\leq C(a)\left(E^{+}(u,w)+1\right)\,. \tag{37}$$

Since $2+\tilde{w}\geq 2-\psi\geq 1$, eq. (36) implies

$$\int_{1}^{\infty}w^{2}\mathrm{d}r=\|\tilde{w}\|^{2}_{L^{2}([0,\infty))}\leq C(E^{+}(u,w)+1)\,. \tag{38}$$

Also,

$$\int_{a}^{1}w^{2}\leq\sup|w|^{2}\leq C(E^{+}(u,w)+1)\,,$$

and thus

$$\int_{a}^{b}w^{2}\leq C\left(E^{+}(u,w)+1\right)\,. \tag{39}$$

Reintroducing the subscripts, eqs. (32), (37) and (39) show the boundedness of $\{(u_j,w_j)\}_j$ in $W^{1,2}([a,b])$ for fixed a,b. We now pick a subsequence $\{(u_j^{(2)},w_j^{(2)})\}_j$ that weakly converges in $W^{1,2}([1/2,2])$, and inductively we choose subsequences $\{(u_j^{(k+1)},w_j^{(k+1)})\}_j$ of $\{(u_j^{(k)},w_j^{(k)})\}_j$ that weakly converge in $W^{1,2}([1/(k+1),k+1])$. Let (u_0,w_0) be the weak limit of the diagonal sequence $\{(u_j^{(j)},w_j^{(j)})\}_j$.

(ii) Let $\kappa>0$ be such that $\psi=0$ on $[0,\kappa]$. Then $u=\hat{u},w=\hat{w}$ on $[0,\kappa]$. We introduce the normed space

$$\mathcal{W}_1:=\left\{w\in W^{1,2}_{\mathrm{loc}}(0,\kappa):\|w\|_{L^{2}((0,\kappa),\mathrm{d}r/r))}+\|w'\|_{L^{2}((0,\kappa),r\mathrm{d}r))}<\infty\right\}$$

with norm $\|w\|_{\mathcal{W}_1}=\|w\|_{L^2((0,\kappa),\mathrm{d}r/r))}+\|w'\|_{L^2((0,\kappa),r\mathrm{d}r))}$. $\{(w,w'):w\in\mathcal{W}_1\}$ is a closed subspace of $L^2(\mathrm{d}r/r)\times L^2(r\mathrm{d}r)$ and hence $\mathcal{W}_1$ is reflexive and bounded sets are weakly sequentially compact. For the diagonal sequence from (i), we have

$$\int_0^\kappa r\mathrm{d}r\left(w_j'^2+\frac{w_j^2}{r^2}\right)\leq E^+(u,w)$$

and hence $w_j\rightharpoonup w_0$ in $\mathcal{W}_1$. In particular, $w_0\in\mathcal{W}^1$. Thus

$$\int_0^\xi r\mathrm{d}rw_0'^2+\frac{w_0^2}{r^2}\to 0\text{ as }\xi\to 0\,,$$

and

$$w_0^2(\xi)\leq 2\left(\int_0^\xi r\mathrm{d}rw_0'^2\right)^{1/2}\left(\int_0^\xi r\mathrm{d}r\frac{w_0^2}{r^2}\right)^{1/2}\to 0\text{ as }\xi\to 0$$

which proves the claim $\lim_{r\to 0}w_0(r)=0$. Analogously one shows $\lim_{r\to 0}u_0(r)=0$; the boundedness of $\int_0^\kappa r\mathrm{d}ru_j'^2$ in this case follows from the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^\kappa r\mathrm{d}ru_j'^2\leq&2\int_0^\kappa r\mathrm{d}r\left(u_j'+w_j^2-1\right)^2+(w_j^2-1)^2\\ \leq&2E^+(u_j,w_j)+\kappa^2(\sup|w_j|^4+1)\\ \leq&C\left(1+E^+(u_j,w_j)+E^+(u_j,w_j)^2\right)\,.\end{aligned}$$

(iii) We have $u_j\rightharpoonup u_0, w_j\rightharpoonup w_0$ in $W^{1,2}_{\mathrm{loc}}(0,\infty)$, and hence $(u_j,w_j)\to(u_0,w_0)$ uniformly on compact subsets of the positive real line. Hence, by Fatou's lemma,

$$\liminf_{j\to\infty}E^+(u_j,w_j)\geq E^+(u_0,w_0).$$

Also, $\lim_{j\to\infty}u_j(1)=u_0(1)$. Hence

$$E(u_0,w_0)=E^+(u_0,w_0)+u_0(1)-\int_0^1\frac{\psi^2\mathrm{d}r}{r}\leq\liminf_{j\to\infty}E(u_j,w_j)$$

which proves the claim of lower semicontinuity. □

Remark 1 (Self-penetration of solutions). The von Kármán model displays a pathology at the origin for the situation we want to model. Namely, the solutions we have found show interpenetration of matter. Condsider again the Euler-Lagrange equation obtained by variation of $\hat{u}$,

$$\left(r\left(\hat{w}^2-1+\hat{u}'\right)\right)'=\frac{\hat{u}}{r}\,.$$

Since $\hat{w}\to 0$ for $r\to 0$, the qualitative behaviour of solutions u near the origin is the same as the one of solutions of the linear equation

$$\left(r\left(\hat{u}'-1\right)\right)'=\frac{\hat{u}}{r}\,.$$

The solutions of this latter equation are given by

$$\frac{1}{2}r\log r+C_1r+C_2r^{-1}.$$

The integration constant C_2 has to be set to zero to fulfill the boundary condition $\hat{u}(0)=0$. Going back to eq. (8), we see that the value of U will be negative in some punctured neighbourhood of the origin and we have self-penetration of the solution (somewhere in the region $r\sim\exp\left(-\varepsilon^{-2}\right)$). We expect that this pathology could be cured by including nonlinear or higher order terms in u in our model. We refrain from doing so, since the main aspect of this work is the analysis of the solutions away from the origin.

4 Decay properties

We now turn our interest to the decay properties of minimizers. First we show that the decay of the energy density is fast in a weighted L^2-sense. This will level the field for an application of stable manifold theory, by which we prove that u and w decay exponentially.

Proposition 3. *Let* (u, w) *be a minimizer of the functional* (27)*, and* $0 < \alpha < 2$*. Then*

$$\int_0^\infty r^{1+\alpha}\mathrm{d}r \left((2w + w^2 + u')^2 + \frac{u^2}{r^2} + w'^2\right) < \infty\,.$$

Proof. **1.** The idea is to integrate the Euler-Lagrange equations against suitable test functions. We may assume $\alpha \geq 1$, since the claim is stronger the larger α is. Let

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta =& \min\left(\alpha, \frac{2-\alpha}{25}\right)\\ \kappa \in& (1/2, 1)\,.\end{aligned}$$

Let $r_0 > 2$ be chosen such that

$$|w(r)| \leq \frac{1}{5}, \quad \frac{2w + w^2}{2(1+w)} \leq \frac{1}{4} \text{ for } r \geq r_0 - 1 \tag{40}$$

which is possible by Lemma 2. Let $R > r_0$. Now let $\xi \in C^\infty([0, \infty))$ with $\xi(r) = 0$ for $r < r_0 - 1$ and $\xi(r) = 1$ for $r > r_0$, and

$$\chi_{\alpha,R}(r) = \begin{cases} \xi(r) r^\alpha & \text{if } r \leq R \\ R^{\alpha+\kappa} r^{-\kappa} & \text{if } r > R \end{cases}.$$

Define the test functions

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(r) =& u(r)\chi_{\alpha,R}(r)\\ \varphi(r) =& \frac{2w(r) + w^2(r)}{2(1 + w(r))}\chi_{\alpha,R}(r)\,.\end{aligned}$$

We claim that $E^+(u + t\phi, w + t\varphi)$ is finite for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$. To see this, we look at each of the summands of the integrand of the energy functional seperately for $r > R$, and estimate them from above by functions that are integrable with respect to the measure $r\mathrm{d}r$:

$$\begin{aligned}\left(2(w + t\varphi) + (w + t\varphi)^2(u + t\phi)'\right)^2 =& \left((2w + w^2 + u')(1 + t\chi_{\alpha,R}) + t^2\varphi^2 + tu\chi'_{\alpha,R}\right)^2\\ \leq& C(R, t, \kappa)\left(\left(2w + w^2 + u'\right)^2 + (2w + w^2)^4\chi^4_{\alpha,R} + \frac{u^2}{r^2}\right)\end{aligned}$$

Since w is bounded by Lemma 2 and by the decay of $\chi_{\alpha,R}$ at ∞, $(2w+w^2)^4\chi^4_{\alpha,R}$ is in $L^1([0,\infty), r\mathrm{d}r)$. Also, by Proposition 2, $2w + w^2 + u'$ and u/r are in $L^2([0, \infty), r\mathrm{d}r)$ which proves that the left hand side of the above equation is in $L^1([0, \infty), r\mathrm{d}r)$. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{(u + t\phi)^2}{r^2} =& \frac{u^2(1 + t\chi)^2}{r^2} \leq C(R, t)\frac{u^2}{r^2}\\ (w + t\varphi)'^2 =& \left(w'\left(1 + \frac{t\chi_{\alpha,R}}{2}\left(1 + \frac{1}{(1 + w)^2}\right)\right) + t\frac{2w + w^2}{2(1 + w)}\chi'_{\alpha,R}\right)^2\\ \leq& C(R, t)\left(w'^2 + \frac{(2w + w^2)^2}{r^2}\right),\end{aligned}$$

where each of the three estimates implies that the left hand side is in $L^1([0,\infty), r\mathrm{d}r)$ by the results from Proposition 2. This proves the claim of the finiteness of $E^+(u+t\phi, w+t\varphi)$, and hence of $E(u+t\phi, w+t\varphi)$. The minimality of $E(u,w)$ implies

$$\begin{aligned}
0 =& \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} E(u+t\phi, w+t\varphi)\Big|_{t=0} \\
=& C(r_0) + 2\int_{r_0}^{\infty} r\mathrm{d}r \Bigg((2w+w^2+u')\left(2(1+w)\frac{2w+w^2}{2(1+w)}\chi_{\alpha,R} + u'\chi_{\alpha,R} + u\chi'_{\alpha,R}\right) + \frac{u}{r^2}u\chi_{\alpha,R} \\
&+ w'\left(w'\chi_{\alpha,R} - \frac{w'(2w+w^2)}{2(1+w)^2}\chi_{\alpha,R}\right) + \frac{2w+w^2}{2(1+w)}\chi'_{\alpha,R}\Bigg) \\
=& C(r_0) + 2\int_{r_0}^{\infty} r\mathrm{d}r \, \left[(2w+w^2+u')^2 + \frac{u^2}{r^2} + w'^2\right]\chi_{\alpha,R} \\
&+ \left[(2w+w^2+u')u\chi'_{\alpha,R} - \frac{w'^2(2w+w^2)}{2(1+w)^2}\chi_{\alpha,R} + \frac{2w+w^2}{2(1+w)}\chi'_{\alpha,R}\right] . \qquad (41)
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $u+t\phi = u$ and $w+t\varphi = w$ on $[0, r_0-1]$, whence

$$\begin{aligned}
C(r_0) = \int_{r_0-1}^{r_0} r\mathrm{d}r &\left[(2w+w^2+u')^2 + \frac{u^2}{r^2} + w'^2\right]\chi_{\alpha,R} \\
&+ \left[(2w+w^2+u')u\chi'_{\alpha,R} - \frac{w'^2(2w+w^2)}{2(1+w)^2}\chi_{\alpha,R} + \frac{2w+w^2}{2(1+w)}\right]
\end{aligned}$$

The only thing that will matter for us is that by the definition of $\chi_{\alpha,R}$, $C(r_0)$ does not depend on R. We set

$$\begin{aligned}
X_1 = X_1(r_0,R) &= \int_{r_0}^{\infty} r\mathrm{d}r \, (2w+w^2+u')^2\chi_{\alpha,R} \\
X_2 = X_2(r_0,R) &= \int_{r_0}^{\infty} r\mathrm{d}r \, \frac{u^2}{r^2}\chi_{\alpha,R} \\
X_3 = X_3(r_0,R) &= \int_{r_0}^{\infty} r\mathrm{d}r \, w'^2\chi_{\alpha,R} \, .
\end{aligned}$$

The X_i, $i=1,2,3$ are manifestly positive. We are going to show that the absolute value of the other integrals in eq. (41) can be bounded by terms that are at most linear in the X_i and terms that are independent of R.

2. By Young's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|\int_{r_0}^{\infty} r\mathrm{d}r (2w+w^2+u')u\chi'_{\alpha,R}\right| \leq& \frac{\alpha}{2}\left(\int r\mathrm{d}r \left((2w+w^2+u')^2 + \frac{u^2}{r^2}\right)\chi_{\alpha,R}\right) \\
\leq& \frac{\alpha}{2}(X_1+X_2) \, , \qquad (42)
\end{aligned}$$

where we used

$$\chi'_{\alpha,R}(r) = \begin{cases} \xi'(r)r^{\alpha} + \xi(r)\alpha r^{\alpha-1} & \text{if } r \leq R \\ -\kappa R^{\alpha+\kappa} r^{-(1+\kappa)} & \text{if } r > R \end{cases} \qquad (43)$$

which implies $|\chi'_{\alpha,R}| \leq \max(\kappa,\alpha)\chi_{\alpha,R}/r = \leq \alpha\chi_{\alpha,R}/r$ for $r > r_0$. Secondly, by our choice of r_0,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|\int_{r_0}^{\infty} r\mathrm{d}r \frac{w'^2(2w+w^2)}{2(1+w)^2}\chi_{\alpha,R}\right| \leq& \frac{1}{4}\left|\int_{r_0}^{\infty} r\mathrm{d}r w'^2\chi_{\alpha,R}\right| \\
\leq& \frac{1}{4}X_3 \, . \qquad (44)
\end{aligned}$$

3. Finally, we have to estimate the integral

$$\int_{r_0}^{\infty} r\mathrm{d}r \frac{w'(2w+w^2)}{2(1+w)}\chi'_{\alpha,R}$$

Splitting the integral into two parts, with integration domains $(0, r_1)$ and (r_1, ∞) with $r_1 > r_0$ to be chosen later, we can estimate its absolute value from above by

$$C(r_0, r_1) + \frac{5}{8}\int_{r_1}^{\infty} r\mathrm{d}r \, \left|w'(2w+w^2)\chi'_{\alpha,R}\right| \tag{45}$$

(The factor 5/4 stems from eq. (40); also, we assumed $r_1 < R$.) By eq. (43) and Young's inequality, the integral can be estimated by

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{5}{8}\int_{r_1}^{\infty} r\mathrm{d}r \, \left|w'(2w+w^2)\chi'_{\alpha,R}\right| &\leq \frac{5}{4}\int_{r_1}^{\infty} r\mathrm{d}r \left|w'\frac{2w+w^2}{r}\chi_{\alpha,R}\right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4}X_3 + \frac{25}{4}\int_{r_1}^{\infty} r\mathrm{d}r \left(\frac{2w+w^2}{r}\right)^2 \chi_{\alpha,R} \,. \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

The second summand on the right hand side above will be estimated with an interpolation inequality in a similar way as in the proof of Proposition 2. We set

$$\tilde{W}(T) = \int_{\infty}^{T} (\tilde{w}^2(t) + 2\tilde{w}(t))\tilde{\chi}^{1/2}(t)\mathrm{d}t$$

where $\tilde{w}(t) = w(\mathrm{e}^t)$, $\tilde{\chi}(t) = \chi_{\alpha,R}(\mathrm{e}^t)$. Note that the definition of $\tilde{W}$ depends on R and

$$\int_{r_1}^{\infty} r\mathrm{d}r \left(\frac{2w+w^2}{r}\right)^2 \chi_{\alpha,R} = \|\tilde{W}'\|^2_{L^2([T_1,\infty))} \,, \tag{47}$$

where we have set $\mathrm{e}^{T_1} = r_1$. We also set $\tilde{u}(t) = \hat{u}(\mathrm{e}^t)$. For every $\delta > 0$ (to be chosen later) there exists a constant $C(\delta)$ that only depends on δ such that

$$\|\tilde{W}'\|_{L^2([T_1,\infty))} \leq \delta\|\tilde{W}''\|_{L^2([T_1,\infty))} + C(\delta)\|\tilde{W}\|_{L^2([T_1,\infty))} \tag{48}$$

For a proof of this interpolation inequality, see e.g. [12]. Using the triangle inequality, integration by parts and the Hölder inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}|\tilde{W}(T)| \leq &\left|\int_{\infty}^{T} \mathrm{d}t(\tilde{w}^2 + 2\tilde{w} + \mathrm{e}^{-t}\tilde{u}')\tilde{\chi}^{1/2}\right| + \left|\int_{\infty}^{T} \mathrm{d}t \, \mathrm{e}^{-t}\tilde{u}'\tilde{\chi}^{1/2}\right| \\ \leq &\left(\int_{T}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}t \, \mathrm{e}^{-2t}\right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{T}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}t(\mathrm{e}^t(2\tilde{w}+\tilde{w}^2) + \tilde{u}')^2\tilde{\chi}\right)^{1/2} + \left|\tilde{u}(T)\mathrm{e}^{-T}\tilde{\chi}^{1/2}(T)\right| \\ &+ \left|\int_{\infty}^{T} \mathrm{d}t \, \tilde{u}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-t}\tilde{\chi}^{1/2}\right)'\right| \end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

Assuming $\mathrm{e}^t > r_0$, we calculate

$$(\mathrm{e}^{-t}\tilde{\chi}^{1/2}(t))' = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{\alpha}{2} - 1\right)\mathrm{e}^{-t}\tilde{\chi}^{1/2} & \text{if } \mathrm{e}^t \leq R \\ -\left(1 + \frac{\kappa}{2}\right)\mathrm{e}^{-t}\tilde{\chi}^{1/2} & \text{if } \mathrm{e}^t > R \end{cases}$$

and thus $|(\mathrm{e}^{-t}\tilde{\chi}^{1/2}(t))'| \leq 2\mathrm{e}^{-t}\tilde{\chi}^{1/2}$. Inserting this into eq. (49) yields for $T \geq T_1$:

$$\begin{aligned}|\tilde{W}(T)| \leq &\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathrm{e}^{-T}\left(\left(\int_{T_1}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}t(\mathrm{e}^t(2\tilde{w}+\tilde{w}^2) + \tilde{u}')^2\tilde{\chi}\right)^{1/2} + 2\left(\int_{T_1}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}t \, \tilde{u}^2\tilde{\chi}\right)^{1/2}\right) \\ &+ \left|\tilde{u}(T)\mathrm{e}^{-T}\tilde{\chi}^{1/2}(T)\right| \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$|\tilde{W}(T)|^2 \leq 2\mathrm{e}^{-2T}\left[\left(\int_{T_1}^{\infty}\tilde{\chi}\mathrm{d}t(\mathrm{e}^t(2\tilde{w}+\tilde{w}^2)+\tilde{u}')^2+\tilde{u}^2\right)+\tilde{u}^2(T)\tilde{\chi}(T)\right]$$

With these preparations, we can estimate the L^2-norm of $\tilde{W}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{T_1}^{\infty}\tilde{W}(T)^2\mathrm{d}T \leq & 2\mathrm{e}^{-2T_1}\left(\int_{T_1}^{\infty}\tilde{\chi}\mathrm{d}t(\mathrm{e}^t(2\tilde{w}+\tilde{w}^2)+\tilde{u}')^2+\tilde{u}^2\right)\\ \leq & 2\mathrm{e}^{-2T_1}\left(X_1+X_2\right) \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

We turn to the estimate of $\|\tilde{W}''\|_{L^2}$. For $\mathrm{e}^t > r_0$,

$$\begin{aligned}|\tilde{W}''(t)|^2 =& \left|\left((2\tilde{w}(t)+\tilde{w}(t)^2)\tilde{\chi}^{1/2}(t)\right)'\right|^2\\ =& \left|2(1+\tilde{w})\tilde{w}'\tilde{\chi}^{1/2}+(2\tilde{w}+\tilde{w}^2)(\tilde{\chi}^{1/2})'\right|^2\\ \leq & 4\left(\tilde{w}'^2+\left(2\tilde{w}+\tilde{w}^2\right)^2\right)\tilde{\chi}\,.\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\int_{T_1}^{\infty}\mathrm{d}t|\tilde{W}''|^2 \leq 4\left(X_3+\int_{T_1}^{\infty}\tilde{W}'^2\mathrm{d}t\right) \tag{51}$$

We insert eqs. (50) and (51) into eq. (48) and get

$$\|\tilde{W}'\|_{L^2}^2 \leq 4\delta\left(X_3+\|\tilde{W}'\|_{L^2}^2\right)+2C(\delta)\mathrm{e}^{-2T_1}\left(X_1+X_2\right) \tag{52}$$

Now we choose δ such that

$$\frac{4\delta}{1-4\delta} < \frac{1}{25}$$

and T_1 such that $\mathrm{e}^{T_1} > r_0$ and

$$\frac{2C(\delta)}{1-4\delta}\mathrm{e}^{-2T_1} < \Delta\,.$$

Note that T_1 and hence r_1 only depends on r_0 and α, but not on R. Eq. (52) becomes

$$\|\tilde{W}'\|_{L^2([T_1,\infty))}^2 \leq \Delta(X_1+X_2)+\frac{1}{25}X_3\,. \tag{53}$$

Putting together this latter estimate with eqs. (45), (46), (47) and (53), we get

$$\left|\int_{r_0}^{\infty} r\mathrm{d}r\frac{w'(2w+w^2)}{2(1+w)}\chi_{\alpha,R}\right| \leq C(r_0,\alpha)+\frac{1}{2}X_3(r_0,R)+\frac{25}{4}\left(\Delta(X_1(r_0,R)+X_2(r_0,R))\right) \tag{54}$$

4. Inserting eqs. (42), (44) and (54) into the integrated Euler-Lagrange equation (41), we get the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}0 \geq & X_1+X_2+X_3-\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}(X_1+X_2)+\frac{1}{4}X_3+C(r_0,\alpha)+\frac{1}{2}X_3+\frac{25}{4}\Delta(X_1+X_2)\right)\\ \geq & \frac{\Delta}{2}(X_1+X_2)+\frac{1}{4}X_3-C(r_0,\alpha)\,.\end{aligned}$$

This inequality holds for every R, which implies that the $X_i(r_0,R)$, $i\in\{1,2,3\}$ are uniformly bounded in R. By definition they are integrals of functions that are monotone in R, so by the monotone convergence theorem the limit function is integrable and the value of the integral is identical to the finite limit $\lim_{R\to\infty}X_i(r_0,R)$. $\square$

We already know that $\lim_{r\to\infty} w(r) = 0$ for any minimizer (u, w). Now we show that minimizers actually have exponential decay at infinity. We will use the following standard tool from stable manifold theory:

Theorem 2 ([8])**.** *Let $s_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n\times n}$ a matrix with $k \le n$ eigenvalues with negative real part and $n-k$ eigenvalues with positive real part , $F : \mathbb{R}^n \times [\bar{s}, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}^n$ with the property that for every $\kappa > 0$, there exist $S_0 \in [s_0, \infty)$ and $\delta_0 > 0$ such that*

$$|F(x, s) - F(\bar{x}, s)| \le \kappa|x - \bar{x}|$$

whenever $|x - \bar{x}| \le \delta_0$ and $s \ge S_0$. Then there exist $\delta > 0, \bar{s} > S_0$ such that for $|p| < \delta$ and $\bar{s} > S_0$, there exists a k-dimensional submanifold $\bar{M}(\bar{s})$ of $\mathbb{R}^n$ containing the origin such that the initial value problem

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s}x(s) = Ax(s) + F(x, s)\,, \quad x(\bar{s}) = p \tag{55}$$

has a solution $x : [\bar{s}, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}^n$ for any $p \in \bar{M}(\bar{s})$, and the property

$$|x(s)| = o(\exp(-\sigma s)) \text{ as } s \to \infty$$

for any $\sigma > 0$ such that the absolute values of the real parts of the eigenvalues of A are all bigger than σ. Furthermore there exists $\eta > 0$ independent of $\bar{s}$ such that, if $p \notin \bar{M}(\bar{s})$, then

$$|x|_{L^\infty(s_0,\infty)} > \eta\,.$$

Proposition 4. *For any minimizer (u, w) of the functional* (27)*,*

$$|(u(r), u'(r), w(r), w'(r))| = o\left(\exp(-\sigma\sqrt{r})\right)$$

as $r \to \infty$ for any $\sigma < 2\sqrt{2}$.

Proof. The Euler-Lagrange equations in the (u, w) variables for $r \ge 1$ read

$$2(1 + w)r(2w + w^2 + u') =(rw')' \tag{56}$$

$$\left(r(2w + w^2 + u')\right)' =\frac{u}{r}\,. \tag{57}$$

We choose $R_0 > 1$ large enough so that

$$\frac{1}{2} < 1 + w(r) < \frac{3}{2} \text{ for } r \ge R_0\,, \tag{58}$$

which is possible by Lemma 2. In this region we may divide eq. (56) by $2(1 + w)$,

$$r(2w + w^2 + u') = \frac{1}{2(1 + w)}(rw')'\,.$$

Here and in the sequel, it is tacitly understood that $r \ge R_0$. Eq. (57) becomes

$$u = r\left(\frac{(rw')'}{2(1 + w)}\right)'\,.$$

Inserting this into the first equation again, we get a fourth order equation for w only,

$$(1 + w)\left(2w + w^2 + \left(r\left(\frac{(rw')'}{2(1 + w)}\right)'\right)'\right) = \frac{1}{2r}(rw')' \tag{59}$$

We make a change of variables,

$$s = \sqrt{r} \quad \underline{w}(\sqrt{r}) = w(r)$$

such that eq. (59) becomes

$$(1+\underline{w})\left[2\underline{w}+\underline{w}^2+\frac{1}{16s}\left(s\left(\frac{(s\underline{w}')'}{2s(1+\underline{w})}\right)'\right)'\right]=\frac{(s\underline{w}')'}{8s^3}$$

This can be rewritten as

$$\underline{w}^{(4)}(s)=-64\underline{w}(s)+g(x(s),s)+h(x(s),s) \tag{60}$$

where $x(s)=(\underline{w}^{(3)}(s),\underline{w}''(s),\underline{w}'(s),\underline{w}(s))$, and $g:\mathbb{R}^4\times\mathbb{R}^+\to\mathbb{R}$ contains the nonlinear terms in x, $h:\mathbb{R}^4\times\mathbb{R}^+\to\mathbb{R}$ the linear ones with coefficients $O(s^{-1})$. More precisely,

$$\begin{aligned}g(x,s)=&\frac{1}{1+\underline{w}}\left(2\underline{w}'\underline{w}^{(3)}+\frac{4}{s}\underline{w}''\underline{w}'+\underline{w}''^2-\frac{1}{s^2}\underline{w}'^2-\frac{1}{1+\underline{w}}\left(2\underline{w}'^2\underline{w}''+\frac{2}{s}\underline{w}'^3\right)\right)\\&-96\underline{w}^2-32\underline{w}^3 &(61)\\h(x,s)=&-\frac{2}{s}\underline{w}^{(3)}+\frac{5}{s^2}\underline{w}^{(2)}+\frac{3}{s^3}\underline{w}' &(62)\end{aligned}$$

In particular, for $f:=g+h$, and given $\kappa>0$, there exist $S,\delta>0$ such that

$$|f(x,s)-f(\bar{x},s)|\le\kappa|x-\bar{x}|$$

whenever $|x-\bar{x}|<\delta$ and $s>S$. Additionally, we have $f(0,s)=0$. Now we may rewrite eq. (60) as a system of first order equations,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s}x(s)=Ax(s)+F(x,s)$$

where $F:\mathbb{R}^4\times\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R}^4$ is given by $F(x,s)=(f(x,s),0,0,0)$ and

$$A=\begin{pmatrix}0 & -64\\ \mathrm{Id}_{3\times3} & 0\end{pmatrix}$$

The eigenvalues of A are $4(\pm1\pm i)/\sqrt{2}$. I.e., A has two eigenvalues with positive real part and two with negative real part. We are now going to show that for a minimizer (u,w) of the functional (27), the function $\underline{w}(s)=w(s^2)$ satisfies

$$\int_{S_0}^{\infty}\mathrm{d}s|\underline{w}^{(4)}(s)|<\infty\,, \tag{63}$$

where $S_0=\sqrt{R_0}$. From eq. (63) it follows that $\lim_{s\to\infty}\underline{w}^{(3)}(s)$ exists and is finite. By Lemma 2, $\underline{w}\to0$ as $s\to\infty$. Hence

$$\lim_{s\to\infty}\underline{w}^{(3)}(s)=\lim_{s\to\infty}\underline{w}''(s)=\lim_{s\to\infty}\underline{w}'(s)=0\,.$$

It follows that $x\to0$. From Theorem 2, it follows that there exists $\bar{s}$ such that $x(\bar{s})\in\bar{M}(\bar{s})$, and hence $|x(s)|=o(\exp(-\sigma s))$ for $\sigma<2\sqrt{2}$.
It remains to prove eq. (63).
By Proposition 3,

$$\int_{R_0}^{\infty}r^{5/2}\mathrm{d}r(2w+w^2+\bar{u}')^2=2\int_{S_0}^{\infty}s^4\mathrm{d}s\left(s(2\underline{w}+\underline{w}^2)+\frac{\underline{u}'}{2}\right)^2<\infty\,, \tag{64}$$

where $\underline{u}(s)=u(s^2)$. In the new parametrization, eq. (56) reads

$$2(1+\underline{w})s^2\left(s(2\underline{w}+\underline{w}^2)+\frac{\underline{u}'}{2}\right)=\frac{1}{4}(s\underline{w}')'\,.$$

The left hand side is square integrable by eq. (64) (the factor of $2(1+\underline{w})$ is irrelevant by eq. (58)). Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{S_0}^{\infty}(s\underline{w}'')^2\mathrm{d}s \leq& C\left(\int_{S_0}^{\infty}(s\underline{w}')'^2+\underline{w}'^2ds\right)\\ \leq& C\left(\int_{R_0}^{\infty}r^{5/2}\mathrm{d}r(2w+w^2+\bar{u}')^2+\int_{R_0}^{\infty}r^{1/2}\mathrm{d}rw'^2\right)\\ \leq& C\left(\int_{R_0}^{\infty}r^{5/2}\mathrm{d}r(2w+w^2+\bar{u}')^2+\int_{R_0}^{\infty}r\mathrm{d}rw'^2\right)\\ <&\infty\,.\end{aligned}$$

where in the second inequality, we have used the trivial estimate $r \geq r^{1/2}$ for $r \geq R_0$. This implies

$$\int_{S_0}^{\infty}|\underline{w}''|\mathrm{d}s \leq \left(\int_{S_0}^{\infty}\frac{\mathrm{d}s}{s^2}\right)^{1/2}\left(\int_{S_0}^{\infty}(s\underline{w}'')^2\right)^{1/2} < \infty \tag{65}$$

and the existence and finiteness of the limit $\lim_{s\to\infty}\underline{w}'(s)$ follows. Moreover, since by Lemma 2, $\lim_{s\to\infty}\underline{w}(s)=0$, we also have

$$\lim_{s\to\infty}\underline{w}'(s)=0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{s\geq S_0}|\underline{w}'(s)| \leq C\,. \tag{66}$$

In the new parametrization, eq. (57) reads

$$\frac{\underline{u}}{s}=\frac{1}{8(1+\underline{w})}\left(\underline{w}^{(3)}+\frac{\underline{w}''}{s}-\frac{\underline{w}'}{s^2}-\frac{\underline{w}'\underline{w}''}{1+\underline{w}}-\frac{\underline{w}'^2}{s(1+\underline{w})}\right)\,,$$

which implies (using eqn. (58))

$$\left|\underline{w}^{(3)}(s)\right|^2 \leq C\left(\left|\frac{\underline{u}(s)}{s}\right|^2+\left|\frac{\underline{w}''}{s}\right|^2+\left|\frac{\underline{w}'}{s^2}\right|^2+\left|\frac{\underline{w}'\underline{w}''}{1+\underline{w}}\right|^2+\left|\frac{\underline{w}'^2}{s(1+\underline{w})}\right|^2\right)\,. \tag{67}$$

Again using eqns. (58) and (66), we get

$$\int_{S_0}^{S_1}\mathrm{d}s|\underline{w}^{(3)}|^2 \leq C\left(\int_{S_0}^{S_1}\mathrm{d}s\left|\frac{\underline{u}(s)}{s}\right|^2+|\underline{w}''|^2+|\underline{w}'|^2\right) \tag{68}$$

for any $S_1 > S_0$, where C does not depend on S_1. By interpolation, for any $\delta > 0$ there exists a constant $C(\delta)$ that only depends on δ such that

$$\int_{S_0}^{S_1}\mathrm{d}s|\underline{w}''|^2 \leq \int_{S_0}^{S_1}\mathrm{d}s\left(\delta|\underline{w}^{(3)}|^2+C(\delta)|\underline{w}'|^2\right)\,. \tag{69}$$

Choosing e.g. $\delta=\frac{1}{2C}$, where C is the constant on the right hand side of eq. (68), and inserting into that equation,

$$\int_{S_0}^{S_1}\mathrm{d}s|\underline{w}^{(3)}|^2 \leq C\left(\int_{S_0}^{S_1}\mathrm{d}s\left|\frac{\underline{u}}{s}\right|^2+|\underline{w}'|^2\right) < \infty\,, \tag{70}$$

where C is independent of S_1. Thus we may take the limit $S_1 \to \infty$ in this equation, which shows $\underline{w}^{(3)} \in L^2(S_0,\infty)$. As a consequence of eq. (69), it follows that $\underline{w}'' \in L^2(S_0,\infty)$. Now we are ready to prove eq. (63) – we are going to show $\underline{w}$, $g(x(\cdot),\cdot)$, $h(x(\cdot),\cdot) \in L^1(S_0,\infty)$. Firstly, for $\bar{s} \geq S_0$,

$$|\underline{w}(\bar{s})| \leq \int_{\bar{s}}^{\infty}|\underline{w}'|\mathrm{d}s \leq \left(\int_{\bar{s}}^{\infty}\frac{\mathrm{d}s}{s^4}\right)^{1/2}\left(\int_{\bar{s}}^{\infty}s^4\underline{w}'^2\mathrm{d}s\right)^{1/2} \leq C\bar{s}^{-3/2}\,,$$

where we have used Proposition 3 in the last inequality. This estimate implies $\underline{w} \in L^1(S_0, \infty)$. This fact together with the following applications of Hölder's inequality imply $h(x, s) \in L^1(S_0, \infty)$:

$$\int_{S_0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}s \left| \frac{\underline{w}^{(3)}}{s} \right| \leq \left(\int_{S_0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}s \left(\frac{1}{s} \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{S_0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}s \left| \underline{w}^{(3)} \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} < \infty$$

$$\int_{S_0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}s \left| \frac{\underline{w}''}{s^2} \right| \leq \left(\int_{S_0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}s \left(\frac{1}{s^2} \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{S_0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}s \left| \underline{w}'' \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} < \infty$$

$$\int_{S_0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}s \left| \frac{\underline{w}'}{s^3} \right| \leq \left(\int_{S_0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}s \left(\frac{1}{s^3} \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{S_0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}s \left| \underline{w}' \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} < \infty \,.$$

Also, from eq. (58), $\underline{w}^{(i)} \in L^2(S_0, \infty)$ for $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, and $\underline{w}^{(i)} \in L^1(S_0, \infty) \cap L^\infty(S_0, \infty)$ for $i \in \{0, 1\}$, it follows that $g(x, s) \in L^1(S_0, \infty)$, which in turn proves eq. (63). This concludes the proof of the proposition, since $\underline{w}^{(i)} = o(\exp(-\sigma s))$ as $s \to \infty$ for $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$ implies $u(r), u'(r), w(r), w'(r) = o(\exp(-\sigma \sqrt{(}r)))$ as $r \to \infty$. ☐

Proof of Theorem 1. First let $\lambda = 1$. By Corollary 2, the functional is well defined and bounded from below. Let $\{(\hat{u}_j, \hat{w}_j)\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \hat{\mathcal{W}}$ be a minimizing sequence for $\hat{E}$. By Corollary 2, $E(u_j, w_j) = \hat{E}(\hat{u}_j, \hat{w}_j)$, and $\{(u_j, w_j)\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a minimizing sequence for E. By Proposition 2, it has a limit $(u_0, w_0) \in \mathcal{W}$ and $E(u_0, w_0) = \inf_{\mathcal{W}} E = \inf_{\hat{\mathcal{W}}} \hat{E}$, which implies $\hat{E}(\hat{u}_0, \hat{w}_0) = \inf_{\mathcal{W}} \hat{E}$. By Proposition 4,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{u}_0(r) =& \frac{1}{2r} + o(\exp(-\sigma \sqrt{r})) \\ \hat{w}_0(r) =& 1 + o(\exp(-\sigma \sqrt{r})) \end{aligned}$$

for all $0 < \sigma < 2\sqrt{2}$ as $r \to \infty$. This proves the claim for $\lambda = 1$. For general λ, the functional is still bounded from below and well defined by by the change of variables eq. (11) with $R = \infty$. Consider a minimizing sequence $\{(\hat{u}_j, \hat{w}_j)\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $\hat{E}_\lambda$. Then $\{(\lambda^{-1} \hat{u}_j(\lambda \cdot), \hat{w}_j(\lambda \cdot)\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a minimizing sequence for $\hat{E}$, again by the change of variables eq. (11), and all the claims of Theorem 1 follow. ☐

References

[1] Fabrice Bethuel, Haim Brézis, and Frédéric Hélein. *Ginzburg-Landau vortices.* Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications, 13. Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 1994.

[2] Jeremy Brandman, Robert V. Kohn, and Hoai-Minh Nguyen. Energy scaling laws for conically constrained thin elastic sheets. *Preprint*, 2012.

[3] E. Cerda and L. Mahadevan. Conical surfaces and crescent singularities in crumpled sheets. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 80:2358–2361, Mar 1998.

[4] E. Cerda and L. Mahadevan. Geometry and physics of wrinkling. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 90:074302, Feb 2003.

[5] E. Cerda and L. Mahadevan. Confined developable elastic surfaces: cylinders, cones and the elastica. *Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Science*, 461(2055):671–700, 2005.

[6] Enrique Cerda, Sahraoui Chaieb, Francisco Melo, and L. Mahadevan. Conical dislocations in crumpling. *Nature*, 401:46–49, 1999.

[7] P.G. Ciarlet. *Theory of Plates.* Studies in Mathematics and Its Applications. Elsevier, 1997.

[8] Earl A. Coddington and Norman Levinson. *Theory of ordinary differential equations.* McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., New York-Toronto-London, 1955.

[9] Sergio Conti and Francesco Maggi. Confining thin elastic sheets and folding paper. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 187(1):1–48, 2008.

[10] B. A. DiDonna and T. A. Witten. Anomalous strength of membranes with elastic ridges. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 87:206105, Oct 2001.

[11] Gero Friesecke, Richard D. James, and Stefan Müller. A hierarchy of plate models derived from nonlinear elasticity by gamma-convergence. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 180(2):183–236, 2006.

[12] D. Gilbarg and N.S. Trudinger. *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order.* Classics in mathematics. Springer, 2001.

[13] Eric M. Kramer and Thomas A. Witten. Stress condensation in crushed elastic manifolds. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 78:1303–1306, Feb 1997.

[14] Tao Liang and Thomas A. Witten. Crescent singularities in crumpled sheets. *Phys. Rev. E*, 71:016612, Jan 2005.

[15] Alex Lobkovsky, Sharon Gentges, Hao Li, David Morse, and T. A. Witten. Scaling properties of stretching ridges in a crumpled elastic sheet. *Science*, 270(5241):1482–1485, 1995.

[16] S. Müller and H. Olbermann. Conical singularities in thin elastic sheets. *ArXiv e-print*, 2012. arXiv:1208.4298.

[17] Shankar C. Venkataramani. Lower bounds for the energy in a crumpled elastic sheet—a minimal ridge. *Nonlinearity*, 17(1):301–312, 2004.

[18] T. A. Witten. Stress focusing in elastic sheets. *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 79:643–675, Apr 2007.